

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/782,874	WASSENEGGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David T. Fox	1638	

Status of Application: 070

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

proposed double patenting rejection of claim 29 over claim 11 of parent patent 6,218,142

Claims discussed:

claims 29 and 65-70

Prior art documents discussed:

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exmr proposed examiner's amendment to overcome double patenting rejection; and/or terminal disclaimer should be filed.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

2000

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)